

आयकर अपीलिय अधिकरण, 'ए' न्यायपीठ, चेन्नई।
IN THE INCOME TAX APPELLATE TRIBUNAL
'A' BENCH: CHENNAI

श्री वी. दुर्गा राव, माननीय न्यायिक सदस्य एवं
श्री जी. मंजूनाथा, माननीय लेखा सदस्य के समक्ष
BEFORE SHRI V. DURGA RAO, HON'BLE JUDICIAL MEMBER AND
SHRI G. MANJUNATHA, HON'BLE ACCOUNTANT MEMBER

आयकर अपील सं./ITA Nos.607 & 610/Chny/2021
निर्धारण वर्ष /Assessment Years: 2014-15 & 2013-14

M/s. New Different Printers,
143, Iduvampalyam Village,
Andipalayam Post, Tiruppur-641687.
Tamil Nadu.

[PAN: AAFFN 7112 D]

(अपीलार्थी/Appellant)

v. The Income Tax Officer,
TDS Ward,
Tiruppur.

(प्रत्यर्थी/Respondent)

अपीलार्थी की ओर से/ Appellant by : Mr.R.Kumar, Adv.
प्रत्यर्थी की ओर से /Respondent by : Mr. AR.V.Sreenivasan,
Addl.CIT

सुनवाई की तारीख/Date of Hearing : 24.02.2022
घोषणा की तारीख /Date of Pronouncement : 24.02.2022

आदेश / ORDER

PER BENCH:

These two appeals filed by the assessee are directed against different common orders passed by the learned Commissioner of Income Tax (Appeals), National faceless Appeal Centre, Delhi, both dated 25.10.2021 pertain to assessment years 2014-15 & 2013-14. Since, the facts are identical and issues are common, for the sake of convenience, these appeals were heard together and are being disposed off, by this consolidated order.

:: 2 ::

2. Brief facts of the case are that for the financial years 2013-14 & 2012-13, the assessee has filed TDS Quarterly returns beyond due date specified under the Act. The Assessing Officer has assessed TDS quarterly returns filed by the assessee under section 200A and levied late filing fee under section 234E of the Act for the delay in filing the said statements for all the assessment years. The assessee has challenged the levy of late filing fee under section 234E before the learned CIT(A), but such appeals have been filed beyond due date specified under the Act. The assessee has filed petition for condonation of delay along with reasons before the CIT(A) and requested to condone the delay in filing appeals to advance substantial justice on the ground that the issues involved on merits regarding levy of late filing fee under section 234E of the Act is now covered in favour of the assessee by various decisions, as per which there is no provision under the Act to levy late filing fee before amendment to section 200A by Finance Act, 2015 w.e.f 01.06.2015. The learned CIT(A) dismissed the appeals filed by the assessee on the ground that the assessee failed to adduce any reasons which comes under reasonable cause for condoning delay in filing appeals and refused to admit the appeals.

3. We have heard learned counsels for both sides and perused material available on record along with the order of learned CIT(A). We find that the learned CIT(A) has dismissed the appeals filed by the assessee without condoning the delay in filing appeals, even though the assessee has explained the reasons for delay in filing such appeals. According to the

:: 3 ::

assessee, the assessee had filed appeals beyond due date for the reason that when the Department start levying late fee under section 234E, there was an ambiguity and no clear directions regarding levy of late filing fee under section 234E of the Act for belated filing of TDS returns. However, in the year 2018 various High Courts and Tribunals have taken a clear stand and held that amendment made under section 200A of the Act with effect from 01.06.2015 is held to be having prospective in nature and hence no late fee can be charged u/s.234E of the Act, while processing TDS returns filed prior to 01.06.2015. Based on subsequent judgments of various Courts and Tribunals, the assessee felt that appeal can be filed against intimation issued by the Assessing Officer levying late fee u/s.234E of the Act. We further noted that the assessee has given above explanation before the CIT(A), however, the learned CIT(A) has not given any reason to discard the reasons given by the assessee for belated filing of appeals but simply dismissed the appeals filed by the assessee on the ground that the assessee did not bring any material on record to establish that it had taken all possible steps to file appeals within prescribed time or within reasonable period. No doubt, it is duty of the assessee to file appeal within due date. In case, the appeal is not filed within due date prescribed under the Act, then it is for the assessee to give sufficient reasons which prevented from filing of appeal within due date, but the reasons given by the assessee shall come within the expression 'sufficient cause'. Various Courts and Tribunals have explained the term "sufficient cause". As per the settled principle a

:: 4 ::

case that arguable / favourable points / contention on merits should not be shut out on the presumption of limitation, leading to such a case being thrown out at the threshold itself in limine. The Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of Collector, Land Acquisition Vs.MST Katiji and Others (1987) 167 ITR 471 (SC) while laying down principles for considering matters of condonation of delay in filing appeals have stated that substantial justice should prevail over technical considerations. The ITAT, Bangalore Bench in the case of Dr. C. Fernandes Co-operative Vs. DCIT (supra) held that sufficient cause ought to be interpreted in a manner which subserves and advances cause of substantial justice. In this case, on perusal of record, we find that the reasons given by the assessee for not filing the appeals within the time allowed under the Act comes under the expression "sufficient cause" and hence, we are of the considered view that learned CIT(A) erred in not condoning the delay in filing appeals. Therefore, we condone the delay in filing appeals before learned CIT(A) and restore the matter back to the file of the CIT(A) to decide the issues involved in these appeals on merits.

4. In the result, all the appeals filed by the assessee are treated as allowed for statistical purposes.

Order pronounced on the 24th day of February, 2022, in Chennai.

:: 5 ::

Sd/-
(वी. दुर्गा राव)
(V. DURGA RAO)

न्यायिक सदस्य/**JUDICIAL MEMBER**

चेन्नई/Chennai,

दिनांक/Dated: 24th February, 2022.

TLN, Sr.PS

Sd/-
(जी. मंजूनाथा)
(G. MANJUNATHA)

लेखा सदस्य/**ACCOUNTANT MEMBER**

आदेश की प्रतिलिपि अग्रेषित/**Copy to:**

1. अपीलार्थी/Appellant
2. प्रत्यर्थी/Respondent
3. आयकर आयुक्त (अपील)/CIT(A)
4. आयकर आयुक्त/CIT
5. विभागीय प्रतिनिधि/DR
6. गार्ड फाईल/GF